COUNCIL FOR THE CITY OF SCRANTON PUBLIC HEARING IN RE: RESOLUTION NO. 159, 2021 -ACCEPTING THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND DENYING THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR JOYCE OUTDOOR ADVERTISING, 317 POPLAR STREET, 2B, SCRANTON, PA 18509 FOR THE MODIFICATION OF SIGNAGE AT 539 LINDEN STREET, SCRANTON, PA 18503. DATE: May 4th, 2021 TIME: 5:45 p.m. LOCATION: Zoom Maria McCool, RPR Official Court Reporter

		2
1	COUNCIL MEMBERS:	
2		
3	WILLIAM GAUGHAN, PRESIDENT	
4	KYLE DONAHUE, VICE PRESIDENT	
5	MARK MCANDREW	
6	JESSICA ROTHCHILD	
7	THOMAS SCHUSTER	
8		
9		
10	FRANK VOLDENBERG, CITY CLERK	
11	KATHY CARRERA, ASSISTANT CITY CLERK	
12	KEVIN HAYES, COUNCIL SOLICITOR	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1 MR. GAUGHAN: Roll call, please, 2 Miss Carrera. 3 MS CARRERA: Mr. Schuster. 4 MR. SCHUSTER: Present. 5 MS. CARRERA: Mr. McAndrew. MR. MCANDREW: Present. 6 MS. CARRERA: Dr. Rothchild. 7 8 DR. ROTHCHILD: Here. 9 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Donahue. 10 MR. DONAHUE: Here. 11 MS. CARRERA: Mr. Gaughan. 12 MR. GAUGHAN: Here. Mr. Voldenberg. 13 MR. VOLDENBERG: The purpose of said 14 public hearing is to hear testimony and discuss 15 the following: 16 RESOLUTION NO. 159, 2021 - ACCEPTING 17 THE RECOMMENDATION OF THE HISTORICAL 18 ARCHITECTURE REVIEW BOARD ("HARB") AND DENYING 19 THE CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS FOR JOYCE 20 OUTDOOR ADVERTISING, 317 POPLAR STREET, 2B, 21 SCRANTON, PA 18509 FOR THE MODIFICATION OF 22 SIGNAGE AT 539 LINDEN STREET, SCRANTON, PA 23 18503. 24 MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you. At this 25 time would someone please make a motion to

1 accept public comment? MR. DONAHUE: I make a motion to 2 3 accept public comment. 4 MR. SCHUSTER: Second. 5 MR. GAUGHAN: There's been a motion and a second to accept public comment for 6 7 Resolution No. 159 2021. Mr. Voldenberg, were 8 there any comments received for this public 9 hearing? 10 MR. VOLDENBERG: Councilman Gaughan, 11 there was no public comment received related to 12 this resolution. 13 MR. GAUGHAN: Very good. Thank you. 14 On the question? All those in favor signify by 15 saying aye. MR. SCHUSTER: Aye. 16 17 MR. MCANDREW: Aye. 18 MR. DONAHUE: Aye. 19 DR. ROTHCHILD: Aye. 20 MR. GAUGHAN: Aye. Opposed? The 21 ayes have it and so moved. 22 Okay, at this point I'd like to --23 anyone who is offering testimony and that would 24 be Mr. Kevin Joyce who is here with us tonight 25 to please raise their right hand and be sworn

in by the stenographer Maria McCool.

2

3

4

5

1

KEVIN JOYCE, having been called as a witness and being duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

6

7

8

9

10

Thank you. And, Mr. MR. GAUGHAN: Joyce, can you just identify yourself, first and last name, just spell it out for the stenographer and then your business affiliation as well.

11

THE WITNESS: Sure. Yeah, my name is Kevin Joyce, K-E-V-I-N, Joyce, J-O-Y-C-E. And I'm the owner of Joyce Outdoor Advertising.

12 13

Thank you.

So

15

14

we are here today to conduct this public

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay.

16 17

hearing regarding the application for

18

appropriateness which was submitted by the City

19

of Scranton's Historical Architectural Review

20

Board by Joyce Outdoor Advertising,

21

specifically the application in question

539 Linden Street in downtown Scranton.

22

requested authorization to modify signage at

23

By way of procedural history, Joyce

24 25

Outdoor Advertising presented the application

on March 11th, 2021 and that application was denied. The Mayor's administration has prepared a resolution in which it accepts the recommendation of HARB with regard to the application's denial.

Pursuant to the City Code, City

Council has notified Joyce Outdoor Advertising

of HARB's denial of the application will be

reviewed at tonight's public hearing. Joyce

Outdoor Advertising has been directed to be

prepared to offer evidence and testimony in

support of the application. And, Mr. Joyce,

you're now authorized to proceed and offer your

testimony.

MR. JOYCE: Thank you. And if anyone has problems hearing me, please just let me know. Thank you everyone for the time tonight. And like Mr. Gaughan just said, this is a modification application. And to frame a little bit of history of the building known as Sheeley's Drug Store on Linden Street in Scranton, that building has had signage specifically billboards on it since at least the 1950s.

There is photographic evidence that

was admitted into the record for the HARB hearing. At that time Adams Avenue was going in the other direction. So the signage was on what is now the rear of the building. And after that -- that change in traffic flow, the signage was then moved to Linden Street and a number of sign companies over the years have operated billboards or billboard at that location.

That being said and the longevity of the history with outdoor advertising on that property in that specific area, it's obviously fitting and it's part of what I think the landscape of the downtown. And the application comes as an operational issue for us.

So some may or may not be aware that the upper levels of Sheeley's Drug Store is a halfway house. So it's operated by the Department of Corrections. And each and every time when we had to get into that building to access the sign, some people may realize or may have noticed that the same advertiser for several years has had the same ad up there.

It's actually starting to look dated and faded because accessing the sign has

started from a small bump in the road and obviously with COVID evolved into a problematic issue for us operationally like I said.

Accessing the building is tough.

Getting into the building to get to the roof
which is where we started with installation of
the sign poses challenges, safety challenges.

When we go in, it's difficult to bring certain tools and certain items into the building so we can access the roof and change the sign. That being said, I expressed that to the HARB it's very difficult to sell an ad on a sign that you have so many challenges to change.

The other challenges are -- and we've had the sign for maybe four years or more. And we looked at and explored in pretty good detail every option out there prior to applying for digital which would take away all these challenges.

Another challenge was accessing -using a bucket truck, park it on the sidewalk
and go above to access the wall, which previous
sign companies had done in the past. However,
this City has a history of problems with

vaults caving in the City. Specifically we know Sheeley's Drug Store has remedied their vault situation because they are our landlord and we've been in the basement. We've accessed all that.

However, there is no level of comfort to park a bucket on anyone else's sidewalks, especially not knowing what is under there. So there's that risk in using a bucket truck on a sidewalk to access the sign. The other option was perhaps using a crane on Linden Street.

And the utility lines that's run up and down Linden Street pose a problem because you go from using a standard size crane, now you need what they would call an articulating crane. It actually has an elbow in it so you could go up and then over.

So now you have a massive crane on Linden Street which would require street closure. And to HARB's credit they said, well, streets are closed all the time. But I don't think that is sufficient. Not many advertisers can afford to pay for a crane to change their ad especially when 99 percent of our business

is local advertisers.

You know, we don't get a lot of big national stuff around here like Coke or Pepsi, a little bit but not much to justify that and as well as the disruption it would cause the downtown in changing the street -- or excuse me, changing the -- closing the street because of that.

I understand the streets are closed for events, Fall Fest or Race For The Cure or St. Patrick's Day; but to change a sign which could happen on a monthly basis. We do have advertisers who change their ad on a static sign every month, that's kind of the point of the billboard.

So that's really not an option, not only from the cost perspective but again logistically closing down the street. So that brought us to how do we overcome all of these things on one of our assets, all of these hurdles.

And that would be reverting it to a digital so the only time we do have to go up there would be to troubleshoot any technical issues. I have digital signs and I've been on

some of them more than others. But we're, you know, a good way into 2021 and I haven't been up on any yet this year.

And, you know, obviously I think I would rather -- I would rather do that a couple times a year than if we had to access the sign on Sheeley's Drug Store -- for digital. I'm very good friends with some of the owners at the Backyard Ale House, actually all of them. And I expressed this years ago when I knew I was having problems.

And I never went for the application because I was fearful of what they would think or what their feedback was. And I've had quite a few conversations with Patrick Nasser, one of the owners. Pat was in my wedding. He's one of my good friends. And he said, Kevin, we have no problem with it. We don't think it would be intrusive in any way.

We understand the business. We're in a downtown. We know things come with that. And we honestly don't see an issue. So we actually said it might be a positive for us because then we could advertise in realtime some of the events once things get back to

1 II

normal.

So that's the long and the short of it. It's really mostly an operational and logistical problem for us. And this would be a solution. And so I'm prepared to answer any questions you have.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Mr. Joyce.

Does anyone on Council have any questions?

MR. DONAHUE: I have a couple. So will the modification impact the existing structure at all?

MR. JOYCE: No. We submitted structural plans for the sign permit itself to Mr. King, stamped signed, engineer drawings. Essentially in layman's terms, it will be two high beams into the building supporting the sign.

Every year they get lighter and lighter, which is a good thing. So again, he went through that, did the study, did the work and we submitted the drawings accordingly.

MR. DONAHUE: Okay. So in terms of signs, how would you compare to what you are proposing to what is currently there now?

MR. JOYCE: Well, the application on

its face to avoid any -- changing any nonconformity or grandfather status of the sign, we put it in as the size it is. But we were planning on eventually doing something smaller. I think a digital that size in the downtown isn't appropriate.

I expressed that to the HARB.

Currently the 672 square feet, pretty much the same as you would see on a highway billboard, which they call a bulletin. I don't think that is necessary for this. We would put a smaller sign and we would actually have it a little bit lower under the wall.

So, for example, in the City outside of the interstate or the expressway, you're allowed 300 square feet. We were thinking something along those lines. It's a standard size. It's a good size. Advertisers are used to using it. So we would go from 672 to 300. That's a pretty substantial shift from the size that it's at now.

MR. DONAHUE: All right. And there are lights -- if I remember correctly, there are lights on the current billboards, correct?

MR. JOYCE: Correct. Yeah, we use

LED lighting fixtures, 200 watt lighting fixtures. They have two fixtures within each lamp. And those are comparable to maybe a streetlight in terms of bright.

MR. DONAHUE: Okay, so just in terms of the level of brightness on the proposed change compared to what's currently there, do you have any idea what that is?

MR. JOYCE: Yeah, I have an idea.

So my manufacturer where we buy the sign from gave me the CliffsNotes so-to-speak because a lot of it is engineering and technical. What he said is, light is measured in candles, lumens, and candles. He said a digital produces .3 feet of candles at night, which are pointing downward as opposed to upward.

He said so as a result, there is less light pollution from a digital from a lighting fixture. So he said digital billboards are just not to go above .3 candles of ambient light. So what he said to me is to put that into perspective, a streetlight gives off 1 foot candle.

So they are technically three times brighter than a digital, which is why a

streetlight has a halo or a glowing effect around it, whereas if you were standing behind a digital billboard -- a newer one I should specify or at least from this manufacturer there is a lot of different types you could buy.

There wouldn't be ambient light.

You wouldn't be seeing this halo effect from behind it, from the side. It would be almost, you know, how televisions evolved over the years.

MR. DONAHUE: Okay. That's all I have for right now.

MR. GAUGHAN: Thank you, Councilman Donahue. Anyone else have any questions for Mr. Joyce?

MR. SCHUSTER: Yeah, is the current board illuminated at night?

MR. JOYCE: It is, yeah. It has 200 watt what they call a double (inaudible) it has two fixtures within the light housing itself.

And that is illuminated, yeah.

MR. SCHUSTER: Okay. I was going to ask some illumination questions. Kyle handled those. Any thoughts on illumination and some

of the neighbors other than the Backyard Ale
House? Have had you any complaints in the past
from --

MR. JOYCE: No. We've never had complaints from the current sign. I'm trying to think in terms of -- no, we never had anything. Downtown I think everyone has been accustom to it because, like I said, there's been signage on that particular property since the 50s.

So it's part of the landscape I would think in terms to people down there who are working there. Yeah, that's -- that's all I got.

MR. SCHUSTER: All right. Thank you.

DR. ROTHCHILD: I was wondering if there were other signs that your company has been transitioning from billboard to electronic?

MR. JOYCE: We haven't transitioned.

All the electronic ones we built were built from the ground up as electronic; Clarks

Summit, Dunmore. We have one at 81 at the edge of Scranton and Moosic. That was built as a

digital.

There's one on the border of Scranton and Dickson City by Best Buy and Staples on Viewmont Drive there. That was built as a digital. So we haven't converted any existing what they call static to a digital.

DR. ROTHCHILD: Would you say that this is more of a trend that the company is going towards an increase in electronic signage?

MR. JOYCE: No, it's tough to say in terms of a trend because my industry is pretty consolidated. It's like the phone business.

There's three major players that control almost all of it. So they usually set the trends.

But in terms of, you know, if I see a spot that I -- that we think would be worthy of the investment with digital, you know, because it's a small business we go borrow the money. We have to make sure it makes sense.

So we usually build a digital from the ground up. But this was a bit of an outlier because of the logistical and operational issues we have.

DR. ROTHCHILD: Okay, because I think one of the concerns with HARB was that this would set a precedence for an increase in electronic signage downtown. And so I --

MR. JOYCE: Yeah, absolutely. I recall that. I do understand their concern.

Obviously everybody does. I live in the City and, you know, believe it or not I believe in certain aesthetics too which is why years ago I didn't want to -- when I spoke to Patrick and his partners there at the Backyard Ale House certain things before the problems had snowballed so-to-speak.

But, you know, I was trying to explain to HARB that there really -- you can't set a precedent -- they have deference to say, but they really can't set a legal precedent on opposing or denying one application to another. That's for the Court of Appeals.

Just because they approve one,
doesn't mean they have to approve another. And
similar to a zoning, just you go to a zoning
hearing board and you get a permit to put a
fence too close to your neighbor's property
line, doesn't mean every single person in town

could do it.

It's a case-by-case application basis. And that's -- that's really all I have to say in terms of a precedent. That's all legal battles. So I can't -- plus there's not really much signage downtown you would think with all the gentrification I guess in the downtown.

And more and more people are moving downtown, you would think there would be more signage. But the City has a cap on that. So in terms of that proliferating, I couldn't see that, no.

DR. ROTHCHILD: Okay. Thank you for your response.

MR. SCHUSTER: My next question in that line is with the other players in the area when it comes to billboards, is there any other -- and I know each of the companies knows where each of the other companies signs are located at, to your best knowledge, do you know of any other companies that have signs in courthouse square or nearby courthouse square?

MR. JOYCE: Nearby courthouse square, well, yeah, there's some on Mulberry

Street which is right on the other side of Linden. And when you go across to Spruce, technically at the top of Spruce and Jefferson there's a digital sign up there. And that's been there longer than my lifetime.

And then when you go around to

Lackawanna, there is a sign on Buona Pizza.

There's signs coming into town on Mulberry

Street, both sides, you know, up by Turkey Hill
there by the University of Scranton. So, yeah,
but not on courthouse square specifically.

MR. SCHUSTER: Yeah, as for that precedent they were talking about, if there is other signage there on courthouse square that would flip over to digital. But the closest digital that you know is the one, I'd say on top of Boccardo Jeweler there on Spruce?

MR. JOYCE: That or I couldn't tell you the exact distance or the one on the parking garage across from the firehouse.

MR. SCHUSTER: Thank you.

MR. JOYCE: Yep.

MR. GAUGHAN: Okay. Any other questions? Okay. Mr. Joyce, thank you very much for your testimony tonight.

	21
1	MR. JOYCE: Thank you.
2	MR. GAUGHAN: That concludes the
3	testimony. If there is no further business,
4	I'll entertain a motion to adjourn the public
5	hearing.
6	MR. DONAHUE: Motion to adjourn.
7	MR. GAUGHAN: This public hearing is
8	adjourned. Thanks everyone.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$\mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{E} \; \mathsf{R} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{F} \; \mathsf{I} \; \mathsf{C} \; \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{T} \; \mathsf{E}$

I hereby certify that the proceedings and evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me of the above-cause and that this copy is a correct transcript of the same to the best of my ability.

Maria McCool,

Official Court Reporter

(The foregoing certificate of this transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means unless under the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying reporter.)